

Gamma and Cosmic-Ray Tests of Special Relativity

Luis Gonzalez-Mestres

*CNRS-IN2P3, B.P. 110, 74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France
E-mail: gonzalez@lappa.in2p3.fr , telephone and fax: 33 1 45830720*

Abstract. Lorentz symmetry violation (LSV) at Planck scale can be tested (see e.g. physics/0003080) through ultra-high energy cosmic rays (UHECR). In a deformed Lorentz symmetry (DLS) pattern where the effective LSV parameter varies like the square of the momentum scale (quadratically deformed relativistic kinematics, QDRK), a $\approx 10^{-6}$ LSV at Planck scale would be enough to produce observable effects on the properties of cosmic rays at the $\approx 10^{20}$ eV scale: absence of GZK cutoff, stability of unstable particles, lower interaction rates, kinematical failure of any parton model and of standard formulae for Lorentz contraction and time dilation... Its phenomenological implications are compatible with existing data. If the effective LSV parameter is taken to vary linearly with the momentum scale (linearly deformed relativistic kinematics, LDRK), a LSV at Planck scale larger than $\approx 10^{-7}$ seems to lead to contradictions with data above $\approx TeV$ energies. Consequences are important for high-energy gamma-ray experiments, as well as for high-energy cosmic rays and gravitational waves.

QDRK

It has been pointed out [1] that Lorentz symmetry violation (LSV) at Planck scale can play a crucial role in astrophysical processes at very high energy. Ultra-high energy (UHE) cosmic rays [2] provide a laboratory to test this prediction. A typical pattern is provided by models with an absolute local rest frame and a fundamental length scale a where new physics is expected to occur [3]. They naturally lead to a quadratically deformed relativistic kinematics (QDRK) of the form [4]:

$$E = (2\pi)^{-1} h c a^{-1} e(k a) \quad (1)$$

where h is the Planck constant, c the speed of light, k the wave vector, and $[e(k a)]^2$ is a convex function of $(k a)^2$ obtained from vacuum dynamics. Expanding equation (1) for $k a \ll 1$, we can write [4]:

$$e(k a) \simeq [(k a)^2 - \alpha (k a)^4 + (2\pi a)^2 h^{-2} m^2 c^2]^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

α being a model-dependent constant, in the range $0.1 - 0.01$ for full-strength violation of Lorentz symmetry at the fundamental length scale, and m the mass of the particle. For momentum $p \gg mc$, we get:

$$E \simeq p c + m^2 c^3 (2 p)^{-1} - p c \alpha (k a)^2 / 2 \quad (3)$$

It is assumed that the earth moves slowly with respect to the absolute rest frame. The "deformation" approximated by $\Delta E = - p c \alpha (k a)^2 / 2$ in the right-hand side of (3) implies a Lorentz symmetry violation in the ratio $E p^{-1}$ varying like $\Gamma(k) \simeq \Gamma_0 k^2$ where $\Gamma_0 = - \alpha a^2 / 2$. If c is a universal parameter for all particles, the QDRK defined by (1) - (3) preserves Lorentz symmetry in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$, contrary to the standard $TH\epsilon\mu$ model [5].

At energies above $E_{trans} \approx \pi^{-1/2} h^{1/2} (2 \alpha)^{-1/4} a^{-1/2} m^{1/2} c^{3/2}$, the deformation ΔE dominates over the mass term $m^2 c^3 (2 p)^{-1}$ in (3) and modifies all kinematical balances: physics gets closer to Planck scale than to electroweak scale and ultra-high energy cosmic rays (UHECR) become an efficient probe of Planck-scale physics. The parton model (in any version) does no longer hold, and similarly for standard formulae on Lorentz contraction and time dilation [6]. Because of the negative value of ΔE [7], it costs more and more energy, as E increases, to split the incoming longitudinal momentum in the laboratory rest frame. As the ratio $m^2 c^3 (2 p \Delta E)^{-1}$ varies like $\sim E^{-4}$, the transition at E_{trans} is very sharp. QDRK can lead [1] to important observable phenomena. In particular:

- For $\alpha a^2 > 10^{-72} \text{ cm}^2$, and assuming universal values of α and c , there is no GZK cutoff for the particles under consideration [3]. In astrophysical processes at very high energy, similar mechanisms can inhibit [7] radiation under external forces (e.g. synchrotron-like, where the interactions occur with virtual photons), photodisintegration of nuclei, momentum loss through collisions (e.g. with a photon wind in reverse shocks), production of lower-energy secondaries...

- Unstable particles with at least two stable particles in the final states of all their decay channels become stable at very high energy [3]. Above E_{trans} , the lifetimes of all unstable particles (e.g. the π^0 in cascades) become much longer than predicted by relativistic kinematics. The neutron or even the Δ^{++} can be candidates for the primaries of the highest-energy cosmic ray events. If c and α are not exactly universal, many different scenarios are possible [7].

- The allowed final-state phase space of two-body collisions is strongly reduced at very high energy, [8], with a sharp fall of partial and total cross-sections for cosmic-ray energies above $E_{lim} \approx (2 \pi)^{-2/3} (E_T a^{-2} \alpha^{-1} h^2 c^2)^{1/3}$, where E_T is the target energy. Using the previous figures for LSV parameters, above some energy E_{lim} between 10^{22} and 10^{24} eV a cosmic ray will not deposit most of its energy in the atmosphere and can possibly fake an exotic event with much less energy [8].

Requiring simultaneously the absence of GZK cutoff in the region $E \approx 10^{20} \text{ eV}$, and that cosmic rays with E below $\approx 3 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ eV}$ deposit most of their energy in the atmosphere, leads to the constraint [8]: $10^{-72} \text{ cm}^2 < \alpha a^2 < 10^{-61} \text{ cm}^2$, equivalent to $10^{-20} < \alpha < 10^{-9}$ for $a \approx 10^{-26} \text{ cm}$ ($\approx 10^{21} \text{ GeV}$ scale). Assuming full-strength LSV forces a to be in the range $10^{-36} \text{ cm} < a < 10^{-30} \text{ cm}$, but a $\approx 10^{-6}$ LSV at Planck scale can still explain the data. Thus, the simplest version of QDRK naturally fits with the expected potential role of Planck-scale dynamics.

LDRK

LDRK, linearly deformed relativistic kinematics, was discarded in our 1997 and subsequent papers for phenomenological reasons [1], but has nevertheless been proposed by several authors (see e.g. [9]), for cosmic-ray and gravitational-wave phenomenology. The function $e(k a)$ is then a function of $k a$ and, for $k a \ll 1$:

$$e(k a) \simeq [(k a)^2 - \beta (k a)^3 + (2\pi a)^2 h^{-2} m^2 c^2]^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

β being a model-dependent constant. For momentum $p \gg mc$:

$$E \simeq p c + m^2 c^3 (2 p)^{-1} - p c \beta (k a)/2 \quad (5)$$

the deformation $\Delta E = - p c \beta (k a)/2$ being now driven by an effective parameter proportional to momentum. LDRK can be generated by introducing a background gravitational field in the propagation equations of free particles [10]. If existing bounds on LSV from nuclear magnetic resonance experiments are to be interpreted as setting a bound of $\approx 10^{-21}$ on relative LSV at the momentum scale $p \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$, this implies $\beta a < 10^{-34} \text{ cm}$. But LDRK leads to inconsistencies with cosmic-ray experiments unless βa is much smaller. Concepts and formulae presented for QDRK can be readily extended to LDRK, and we get now:

$$E_{trans} \approx \pi^{-1/3} h^{1/3} (2 \beta)^{-1/3} a^{-1/3} m^{2/3} c^{5/3} \quad (6)$$

$$E_{lim} \approx (2 \pi)^{-1/2} (E_T a^{-1} \beta^{-1} h c)^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

For a high-energy photon, LDRK is usually parameterized [10] as:

$$E \simeq p c - p c \beta (k a)/2 = p c - p^2 M^{-1} \quad (8)$$

where M is an effective mass scale. Tests of this model through gamma-ray bursts, measuring the delays in the arrival time of photons of different energies, have been considered in [11] for the Gamma-ray Large Area Space Telescope (GLAST), and more generally in [10]. But, from the same considerations developed in our 1997-99 papers taking QDRK as an example, stringent bounds on LDRK can be derived. Assume that LDRK applies only to photons, and not to charged particles, so that at high energy we can write for a charged particle, ch , the dispersion relation:

$$E_{ch} \simeq p_{ch} c + m_{ch}^2 c^3 (2 p_{ch})^{-1} \quad (9)$$

where the ch subscript stands for the charged particle under consideration. Then, it can be readily checked that the decay $ch \rightarrow ch + \gamma$ would be allowed for p above $\simeq (2 m_{ch}^2 M c^3)^{1/3}$, i.e:

- for an electron, above $E \approx 2 \text{ TeV}$ if $M = 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$, and above $\approx 20 \text{ TeV}$ if $M = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$;
- for a muon or charged pion, above $E \approx 80 \text{ TeV}$ if $M = 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$, and above $\approx 800 \text{ TeV}$ if $M = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$;

- for a proton, above $E \approx 240 \text{ TeV}$ if $M = 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$, and above $\approx 2.4 \text{ PeV}$ if $M = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$;

- for a τ lepton, above $E \approx 400 \text{ TeV}$ if $M = 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$, and above $\approx 4 \text{ PeV}$ if $M = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$;

so that none of these particles would be observed above such energies, apart from very short paths. Such decays seem to be in contradiction with cosmic ray data, but avoiding them forces the charged particles to have the same kind of propagators as the photon, with the same effective value of M up to small differences. Similar conditions are readily derived for all "elementary" particles, leading for all of them, up to small deviations, to a LDRK given by the universal dispersion relation:

$$E \simeq p c + m^2 c^3 (2 p)^{-1} - p^2 M^{-1} \quad (10)$$

For instance, π^0 production would otherwise be inhibited. But if, as it seems compulsory, the π^0 kinematics follows a similar law, then the decay time for $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ will become much longer than predicted by special relativity at energies above $\approx 50 \text{ TeV}$ if $M = 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ and $\approx 500 \text{ TeV}$ if $M = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$. Again, this seems to be in contradiction with cosmic-ray data. Requiring that the π^0 lifetime agrees with special relativity at $E \approx 10^{17} \text{ eV}$ would force M to be above $\approx 10^{26} \text{ GeV}$, far away from the values to be tested at GLAST. Another bound is obtained from the condition that there are 3.10^{20} eV cosmic-ray events. Setting E_{lim} to this value, and taking oxygen to be the target, yields $M \approx 3.10^{21} \text{ GeV}$. As it appears very difficult to make LDRK, with M reasonably close to Planck scale, compatible with experimental data, it seems necessary to reconsider the models to be tested at GLAST and in gravitational-wave experiments.

REFERENCES

1. L. Gonzalez-Mestres, paper physics/0003080 of the LANL (Los Alamos) electronic archive, and references therein.
2. See, for instance, M. Nagano and A.A. Watson, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **72**, 689 (2000), and references therein.
3. L. Gonzalez-Mestres, paper physics/9704017 of LANL archive.
4. L. Gonzalez-Mestres, *Proc. 25th ICRC (Durban, 1997)*, Vol. 6, p. 113 (1997).
5. C. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, Cambridge University Press 1993.
6. L. Gonzalez-Mestres, paper nucl-th/9708028 of LANL archive.
7. L. Gonzalez-Mestres, paper physics/9706032 of LANL archive.
8. L. Gonzalez-Mestres, paper physics/9706022 of LANL archive.
9. G. Amelino-Camelia, J. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos, D.V. Nanopoulos and S. Sarkar, *Nature* **393**, 319 (1998).
10. J. Ellis, K. Farakos, N. Mavromatos, V.A. Mitsou and D.V. Nanopoulos, paper astro-ph/9907340 of LANL archive.
11. J.P. Norris, J.T. Bricell, G.F. Marani, and J.D. Scargle, *ICRC 1999 Proceedings*, paper OG 2.3.11 (1999).